



UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
 NUCLEAR DIVISION
 P. O. BOX Y, OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE 37830

502 ^{File} 383
 Mercury

June 9, 1977

United States Energy Research and Development
 Administration, Oak Ridge Operations
 Post Office Box E
 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

8724

Attention: Mr. H. D. Hickman

Gentlemen:

UNCLASSIFIED VERSION OF
Mercury Inventory at Y-12 Plant 1950 through 1977

Attached is the information you requested regarding mercury releases in the Y-12 area. Also attached is additional information to support this mercury review.

Please let us know if further information is desired.

Very truly yours,

J. M. Case
 for J. M. Case, Plant Manager
 Oak Ridge Y-12 Plant

DWS:jal

Attachments: "Solvent Losses through
 Ventilation Exhaust Systems, Bldg.
 9201-5" (C)

"Estimated Mercury Losses in Creek
 Waters 1955 through 1975" (OUO)

Distribution, Series A:

- Copies 1-5: H. D. Hickman
- 6: D. J. Bostock(Y-12RC)
- 7: J. M. Case
- 8: R. F. Hibbs
- 9: R. G. Jordan
- 10: J. D. McLendon
- 11: C. J. Parks
- 12: R. D. Williams

Distribution, Series C:

H. D. Hickman, DOE-ORO

#77

SUMMARY

The Y-12 Plant was involved with the handling of production quantities of mercury from 1950 through 1963. The maximum inventory in the Plant was during 1956-1957

Deleted 2.4 million pounds has either been lost or is material unaccounted for.

Known losses are:

1. Airborne losses
2. Creek losses
3. Recorded spills
4. Deliberate overage in bottling of Y-12 mercury for GSA

Material unaccounted for:

1. Salvage still in 9201-4
2. Anticipated overage in 9201-4 inventory
3. Mercury carried out on equipment during 9201-5 stripping operations
4. Mercury retained in the extract or "tails" material during lithium processing
5. Probable shortage of mercury in the original inventory when the system was charged by Rust Engineering Company

The majority of the mercury which was released to the environment occurred during early operations of the Colex Process, 1955 through 1958. This material was discharged either to the air, lost to the creek (approximately 230,000 pounds), or lost in the earth.

The airborne losses were reduced to practically zero in 1958. The creek losses have been less than the minimum detectable limit since 1963. There have not been any earth losses reported since operations were terminated in 1966.

Current practices and procedures for the bottling of the existing inventory minimize the possibility of any creek or earth losses. Air losses continue to be minimal. Processes are being developed to prevent the loss of any mercury when the remaining process equipment is washed and stripped from Building 9201-4.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Based on the past ten years data, it appears in the future the creek losses will not exceed the drinking standard for water.
2. In comparing loss data at the source (9201-4 and 9201-5) and the creek data leaving Y-12 with the approximate or actual date of known losses to the earth, there is no evidence that these ground losses had or have reached Poplar Creek.
3. Based on the water quality leaving Y-12 in the past 14 years by effluent sampling, it is concluded that losses to the earth are contained in the shale beneath the spill locations.
4. If the soil from the creek were excavated in a strip 20 ft. wide, 20 miles long, and to a depth of 12 inches, it would totally destroy the creek and would remove less than 4,000 pounds of mercury.
5. After inspection of all identified spill locations, it is felt that no further excavation is warranted.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. It is recommended that monitoring for total soluble mercury in the east fork of Poplar Creek be continued.
2. Limited data on soil samples are available from the east fork of Poplar Creek. It is recommended that soil samples be taken on an annual basis to determine the rate in which the soil in the creek is being purged of mercury.
3. It is recommended that soil samples be taken in future major excavations of areas that had large quantities of mercury used and/or lost. This would determine if salvage operations are warranted.
4. A survey was made of mercury processing areas, known mercury spill locations, and the mercury storage area with the following recommendations being made:
 - a. 81-10 - Visible mercury should be cleaned up and the mercury trap and settling basin cleaned.
 - b. 9201-5 - One small area contaminated with mercury was found. This will be cleaned up.
 - c. Increase clean-up activities in the Feed Prep./Extraction and the Evaporator areas. Drain equipment and pipe lines in the same manner that the cascade equipment is being emptied. This will leave the entire building in a "drip free" condition until final stripping is initiated.

June 9, 1977

- d. Mercury storage (Building 9720-26) - No changes are recommended.
- e. 9201-2 - The basement area of this building was examined in those areas where known spills and excavation had taken place. No visible mercury was seen.

INVENTORY BALANCE

Total mercury received in Y-12

Bottled prior to 1977

Estimate of overage when filling bottles¹

Estimate of material removed in 9201-5 stripping²

Recovered by Mallory Battery Company

Estimate from decommission of facility

Mercury in extract produced³

Book Inventory 9201-4 December 31, 1976

Estimated Inventory error⁴

Estimated hold up in 9201-4 salvage⁵

Estimated hold up in 9201-4 equipment⁶

Total estimated 9201-4 inventory

Measured loss 9201-5 March, 1966

Creek losses through 1972⁷ (soluble)

Creek losses through 1964⁸ (entrained, estimated)

Mercury in sludge removed from New Hope Pond⁹

Airborne losses 1955 through 1963¹⁰

Total mercury accounted for

Total mercury unaccounted for

Deleted

49,853

235,000

235,000

7,200

30,000

Deleted
1,880,699

¹Y-12 bottling procedure calls for filling bottles to 76 pounds -0+2 ounces

²All equipment removed from 9201-5 had some mercury contamination

Deleted

⁷Creek losses 1955 to present

⁸No analysis available on entrained material. Estimated to be equal to soluble mercury

⁹Average analysis of sludge removed from New Hope Pond

¹⁰Letter J. C. Little to distribution dated March 4, 1956

HISTORY

1. Development and Pilot Facilities

- a. Building 9733-2 - Development facility for the Elex Process (Electrical Exchange). Operated 1950 and 1951.

Mercury Inventory

Deleted

Effluent control - This facility had a steel sump or trap installed in the floor drain system before entering the storm sewer. This trap was routinely checked and emptied. This system was incorporated on all of the future development and pilot facilities. Except in the event of gross spills, it proved effective in preventing metallic mercury from entering the creek.

Losses - There were no major losses reported.

- b. Building 9733-1 - Development facility for the Orex (Organic Exchange). Operated 1951 and 1952.

Mercury inventory -

Deleted

Effluent control - Same as 9733-2.

Losses - There were no major losses reported.

- c. Building 9201-2 - Pilot plant for the Elex Process and for the Colex (Column Exchange) Process. Operated September, 1951 through 1955.

Mercury Inventory -

Deleted

This building housed several pilot plants and equipment test facilities over the four-year period and was Y-12's first involvement with significant quantities of mercury. During the operation of the different facilities there was a total loss of 108,000 pounds. Major recovery operations were conducted by excavation of dirt from the basement of this building. Visible mercury was collected from the dirt. The rest of the dirt was stored and later processed through the Nichols Hershoff furnace at Building 81-10. At one time recovery attempts were made by manually digging at the storm sewer discharge to the creek. (N. K. Bernander). There was very little mercury recovered in this attempt.

Although there was a large amount of material unaccountable as a result of operations, there is no record of any one large spill that was lost to the environment.

- d. Building 9202 - Pilot plant for the Orex Process. Building operated April, 1953 through May, 1954.

Mercury inventory -

Deleted

Operations in this building were of the same magnitude as the 9201-2 facilities. Estimated losses were 50,000 pounds. There were no major spills recorded; however, when a mercury inventory showed a significant loss and it had not been recovered in the trap outside the building, the storm sewer between the building and the trap was excavated and an attempt to recover the mercury was made. The dirt from the recovery operation was stored for later processing in the Nichols Hershoff furnace. (A. D. Ryan).

2. Production Plants

- a. 9204-4 Elex Production Plant operated 1953 through Spring, 1956.

Mercury inventory ~~Deleted~~ At the end of the program, the inventory showed a loss of 71,000 pounds. The design of this operation reduced the probability of major spills. The majority of the process equipment was on the upper levels of the building and spills could be cleaned up before getting to the outside. There were occasional spills in the salvage recovery area in the basement, some of which could have been lost to the storm drain or to the earth through cracks in the floor.

- b. 9201-5 Colex Production Plant. Operated January, 1955 through February, 1959, and partial operations were resumed for a Lithium-7 production run in December, 1962 through May, 1963. The building was stripped of process equipment in 1965 and 1966.

Original design provided ~~Deleted~~ settling tanks to collect process overflows to prevent loss of mercury to the creek. The system was soon modified to also catch all of the building floor drains. All building effluent was pumped to a neutralizing sump south of the building where it was periodically pumped to the storm sewer. Development studies resulted in minimizing the flow to this sump and using it as a settling basin with a continuously monitored overflow.

During the initial building startup, there were numerous mercury and amalgam spills in the building. Although the volume of the spills was not recorded, recovery operations were considered to be effective but it must be assumed that mercury was lost both to the ground and to the storm sewer system.

There were three known spills of mercury on the ground outside of 9201-5 and two major spills inside the building during this period where mercury is known to have been discharged to the environment. These will be discussed in detail later.

- c. 9201-4 Colex Production Plant. Operated June, 1955 through December, 1962. The design of this building was similar to that of 9201-5. Process and waste treatment improvement parallel those in 9201-5. The major auxiliary operations (Feed Prep., Extract, and Evaporation) for both buildings were conducted at 9201-4.

June 9, 1977

There were no reported major spills to the environment at this building. Process spills and leaks were similar in nature and magnitude as those in 9201-5.

- d. Building 81-10 - This facility was constructed in 1956 and 1957. Operations were intermittently from March, 1957 through May, 1962. This facility was designed to recover mercury from solid wastes by evaporation and condensation. The primary feedstocks for the facility were filter solids (both lithium carbonate and powdered graphite), decomposer graphite, floor sweepings, "sludge" (solids recovered from settling tanks), and contaminated dirt (from excavating outside or around spills).

Deleted The facility was constructed on a concrete pad with the drains being collected in a concrete mercury trap. All of the effluent from this facility flowed through the trap to a settling basin before being discharged to the creek.

Losses to the surrounding area were experienced through cracks in the concrete, water leaving the facility, and airborne losses.

3. Spills

There were five major spills during the Y-12 operations where mercury was released to the environment. These are in addition to the losses reported for 9201-2 and 9202.

- a. Summer, 1955

200-400 gallons (22,500 - 45,000 pounds).

Northeast corner, 9201-5.

Condition - Plugged decomposer,

Deleted

Recovery - Visible mercury shoveled off of ground (earth). Backhoes brought in to excavate. Drums of salvage dirt later processed at 81-10. (T. W. Robinson, G. W. Evans).

- b. December 31, 1955

1,000 - 1,500 gallons (113,000 - 170,000 pounds).

South end of Crane Bay 6 (Inside) 9201-5.

Condition - Ruptured expansion joint

Deleted
Mercury sprayed through south end of building.

Recovery - All visible mercury recovered inside building. Some mercury released through basement of fan room floor.

June 9, 1977

c. Summer, 1956

200-800 gallons (22,500 - 90,000 pounds).

Lost on ground at mercury dumping station.

Condition - Improper valving while transferring mercury from 9204-4 to 9201-4 and 9201-5.

Recovery - Visible mercury recovered by manually shoveling up surface dirt. Excavation by backhoes. The dirt excavated was stored in drums and later fed to Nichols Hershoff furnace at 81-10. (J. E. Smyrl).

d. Summer, 1956

200-800 gallons (22,500 - 90,000 pounds).

Lost on ground north of First Street at ramp entering 9201-5.

Condition - Improper valving while transferring mercury from 9201-5 to 9201-4.

Recovery - Visible mercury recovered by manually shoveling and vacuuming. Excavation by backhoes with dirt drummed and later fed to 81-10. (J. E. McNabb, W. H. Hubbs, D. W. Smith).

e. March, 1966

890 gallons (100,000 pounds).

9201-5 Fan Rooms E and F.

Condition - Leaky "sight glass" on storage tanks.

Recovery - Visible mercury recovered by vacuuming and sweeping

Deleted

Core drilled in basement to locate remaining mercury. No significant quantity was located.

This spill documented in United States Atomic Energy Commission report of investigating committee, "Loss of Mercury at the Y-12 Plant," dated 1966.

4. Losses

- a. Airborne losses - During operations, a maximum of 30,000 pounds have been lost as airborne losses. (Letter J. C. Little to distribution dated March 4, 1956). Calculated quantity is based on Alpha-5 operations of 400 days at 20 pounds/day and 1100 days at 5 pounds/day; Alpha-4 operations of 250 days at 20 pounds/day and 2200 days at 5 pounds/day.

June 9, 1977

- b. Creek losses - Creek losses of mercury totaled 470,000 pounds through 1962, with an additional 5,000 pounds being lost through 1972. Since 1972, losses have been less than 18 pounds/year. (Letter J. M. Napier to D. W. Smith dated March 5, 1977).
- c. Spills - An estimate of losses to the earth is difficult to establish; however, from the inventory balance this loss could be as much as 1,880,699 pounds. The sum of unrecovered mercury from the five spills is estimated between 150,000 and 225,000 pounds.

INVENTORY SHORTAGE

During the charging of the Colex System (9201-4 and 9201-5) in 1955, Rust Engineering Company emptied ~~Deleted~~ flasks of mercury. This operation was done over a period of 6 to 8 months. Although the receiving vouchers showed the quantity of flasks received to be correct, there was some comment made at that time (undocumented) that some of the flasks were only partially full or empty at the time they were emptied.

It is felt that there was an initial inventory shortage at the time the system was filled; however, no finite number is being assigned for this shortage. The following evidence is offered to support this conclusion.

1. As early as 1958 when the first mercury was being flaked and returned to GSA leaky flasks were found. These flasks were discarded.
2. During storage, it was found that some of the accepted flasks (20-25 psi pressure test) were "leakers" and these were removed from Y-12 storage upon detection.
3. These flasks were the same ones that the mercury was received in and had been in storage throughout the world for an undetermined period.

ChemRisk Repository Number: 776

Title: "Unclassified Version of Mercury Inventory at Y-12 Plant 1950 through 1977"

Authors: J. M. Case

Abstract: This document details the mercury releases from the Y-12 from 1950 - 1977. The known loss of 2.4 million pounds during this time period has been through: airborne losses, creek losses, recorded spills, deliberate overage in bottling of Y-12 mercury for GSA. The majority of the mercury which was released to the environment occurred during early operations of the Colex Process 1955 - 1958.

Reviewer: J. Lamb

Document Source or Location: K-25 CEP

Date Document Issued: 06/09/77

Classification Category: unc

Site Document Addresses: Y

Primary Document Category: ST

Date Entered: 02/05/93

Entered By: cmv

Keywords: Mercury release, Mercury loss, Inventory, Colex, Airborne effluents, Waterborne effluents, Spills

yes, we have a copy.

ms 2/1/93

OAK RIDGE Y-12 PLANT INFORMATION CONTROL FORM

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION (Completed By Requesting Division)

Document No. MS/Chr-502383/K-25 Author's Telephone No. 6-8475 Acct. No. 6125 0000 Date of Request 1/29/93

Unclassified Title: Unclassified Version of Mercury Inventory at Y-12 Plant 1950 through 1977

Author(s) Suzanne Sandberg

TYPE: [] Formal Report [X] Informal Report [] Progress/Status Report [] Co-Op Report [] Thesis/Term Paper [] Oral Presentation [] Journal Article [] Other (Specify):

Document will be published in proceedings [X] No [] Yes
Document will be distributed at meeting [X] No [] Yes
Document has patent or invention significance [X] No [] Yes (Identify)
Document has been previously released [] No [] Yes (Reference)

DIVISION REVIEW AND APPROVAL (Completed By Requesting Division)

TECHNICAL CLASSIFICATION REVIEW (Divisional Classification Representative)
Title(s): Unclassified Abstract: NA
DOCUMENT: Level: Unclassified Category: NA
Sara D. Welch 1/29/93
Signature Date

DOCUMENT REQUEST APPROVED (Division or Department)
Signature Date
Signature Date

THE REMAINDER OF THIS FORM TO BE COMPLETED BY THE TECHNICAL INFORMATION OFFICE

DISTRIBUTION

[] Internal Distribution [] External Distribution
TID-4500 Category or Copies to OSTI
ANNOUNCED IN: ERA Atomindex (Available from NTIS)
M-3679 Category
ANNOUNCE IN: [] AWDR (Available from OSTI) [] ANCR

Table with 4 columns: Distribution, UCN-7721B, DOE F-1332.15, Document. Rows for Y-12 Central Files, Y-12 RC, TIO File, Suzanne Sandberg.

Distribution Remarks: Cleared for Public Release (Chemical)

APPROVAL AND RELEASE

Date Received 1-29-93 Date Initiated 1-29-93
[2] CLASSIFICATIONS:
Title(s): U Abstract
DOCUMENT:
Level U Category
Weapons Data Sigma
J. D. Smith 1-29-93
Y-12 Classification Office Date

[] Editor Date
[3] Patent Office 2/1/93 Date
[] Other Date
[] Other Date

APPROVED FOR: [] Declassification [] Release subject to use of the following admonitory markings and conditions:
[] Disclaimer [] Copyright [] Patent Caution [] Other
Technical Information Office 2/1/93 Date

Conditions/Remarks:

ChemRisk Document Request Transmittal Form

(This section to be completed by ChemRisk)

Name S. Sandberg Division K-25 CEP is requested to provide the following document

Address _____

Date of Request 1/11/93 Expected receipt of document 1/29/93

Title of requested document Unclassified Version of Mercury Inventory at Y-12 Plant 1950-1977

Document Number S02383

Access Number of Document _____ Date of Document June 9, 1977

(This section to be completed by Derivative Classifier)

Derivative Classifier Sara H. Welch Phone 4-7864

Date document transmitted to Dr. Quist _____

Date release received from Dr. Quist _____

PUBLIC RELEASE STAMP attached to each copy of document (YES NO)

Date document sent to reproduction _____ Expected Return _____

Delivered to DRC by _____ Date _____

(This section to be completed by DRC)

Received by DRC _____ Date _____

Processed _____

Mailed _____

OAK RIDGE K-25 SITE DOCUMENT RELEASE FORM

Person requesting release J. Lamb Telephone No. (510) 748-5643
Mailing Address _____ Division or Organization ChemRisk
Date by which release is required 1/29/93 (Standard processing time is 5 working days.
Some documents require special review and the processing time will be longer).

Note: Two copies of the document must generally be provided to the Classification and Information Control Office with this request. Only one copy of photos and videotapes is required. Documents that include photos must be accompanied by "originals" of the photos.

Approval of request for Classification and Information Control Office to release document (department head or higher):

Signature: J.K.M. Date 1/11/93

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION (to be completed by requester)

Document number 502383 Pages _____
Document title Unclassified version of mercury inventory at Y-12 Plant
1980-1977
Author(s) (indicate other divisions or organizations, if applicable) Casal, J.M.

Document type (See Doc. Prep. Guide, Chs. 1 and 2, for definitions of document types):

- | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Formal Report | <input type="checkbox"/> Progress Report | <input type="checkbox"/> Informal R&D Report | <input type="checkbox"/> Abstract | <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Administrative | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Correspondence | <input type="checkbox"/> Internal Technical Data | <input type="checkbox"/> Photo | <input type="checkbox"/> Other Visuals |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Journal Article (identify journal): _____ | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Oral Presentation (identify meeting, sponsor, location, date): _____ | | | | |

Will oral presentation be published in program, booklet, brochure, etc.? Yes No Not Known

Will copies of the oral presentation be distributed before, after, during the meeting? No distribution will be made.

Other (specify): _____

Purpose of release _____

Previously cleared documents containing similar information _____

Is copyrighted material contained in this document? (If present, attach release.) Yes No

Remarks _____

CLASSIFICATION INFORMATION (to be obtained by requester)

Was the work reported in this document funded, in whole or in part, by a classified program at Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.?
 No Yes (Name of program: _____)

Is the subject area of this document closely related to a prior or current classified program at Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.?
 No Yes

Within the Department of Energy? No Yes

Name or Description of applicable program(s) _____

Additional remarks _____

This document contains no classified information.

Derivative Classifier signature Sara H. Welch Date 1/27/93

DISTRIBUTION LIMITATIONS (if any) (completed by requester)

Unrestricted, unlimited

Distribution may be limited because this document contains information that is:

Unclassified Controlled Nuclear Information *

Applied Technology *

Export Controlled *

Naval Nuclear Propulsion Information *

Gov't Confidential Commercial Information *

Proprietary

Sensitive Nuclear Technology *

Small Business Innovation Research *

Official Use Only

Safeguards Information *

Cooperative R&D Agreement *

Other

* Generally identified by sponsor

Remarks: _____

PATENT INFORMATION (completed by requester)

Does this document disclose any new equipment, process, or material?

Yes

No

If yes, list the patent significance and identify page number(s) and line number(s) in the space immediately following (or attach separate pages).

PATENT SECTION ACTION (completed by Patent Section upon request by the Classification and Information Control Office)

Document may be released for publication

Document must be reviewed by DOE Patent Group before release

Document contains patentable information and may not be released at this time

Remarks _____

Patent Section Representative _____

Date _____

CLASSIFICATION AND INFORMATION CONTROL OFFICE ACTION (completed by Classification and Information Control Office)

Classification Office Not approved for release (see below)

Approved for release with changes (see below)

Action Taken:

Approved for release without change

Classification Officer signature _____

Date _____

Technical Information
Office Action Taken:

Not approved for release (see below)

Approved for release with changes (see below)

Approved for release without change

Technical Information Officer Signature _____

Date _____

Send to OSTI? Yes No

Category Distribution: _____