

**(This section to be completed by subcontractor requesting document)**

Requestor J. Lamb / 1034A Document Center (is requested to provide the following document)

Date of request ~~2/29/96~~ 3/29/96 Expected receipt of document ~~3/29/96~~ 4/30/96

Document number KYL-965 part 2 Date of document 2/75  
K/6m-399

Title and author (if document is unnumbered) pages 9-11 only

**(This section to be completed by Document Center)**

Date request received 4/8/96

Date submitted to ADC 4/15/96

Date submitted to HSA Coordinator 4/8/96

**(This section to be completed by HSA Coordinator)**

Date submitted to CICO 4/15/96 5/13/96

Date received from CICO 5/4/96 5/15/96

Date submitted to ChemRisk/Shonka and DOE 5/15/96

**(This section to be completed by ChemRisk/Shonka Research Associates, Inc.)**

Date document received \_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

2943  
~~2982~~

K/EM-399

DECLASSIFIED VERSION OF EXTRACTED PAGES FROM PADUCAH PLANT  
DEVELOPMENT PROGRESS REPORT FEBRUARY 1975

(DECLASSIFIED VERSION OF EXTRACTED PAGES FROM  
CRD DOCUMENT #KY-L-165/PT2)

Compiled by  
S. G. Thornton  
Environmental Management Division  
OAK RIDGE K-25 SITE  
for the Health Studies Agreement

April 23, 1996

Oak Ridge K-25 Site  
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-7314  
managed by  
LOCKHEED MARTIN ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.  
for the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
under Contract DE-AC05-84OR21400

This document has been approved for release  
to the public by:

*John Flaster*  
Technical Information Officer  
Oak Ridge K-25 Site

*5/14/96*  
Date

KY-1 765  
ART

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

82 Jw  
JL

PADUCAH PLANT  
DEVELOPMENT PROGRESS REPORT  
FEBRUARY 1975

70 717

SET REVISIONS AUTHORITY DATE AND REVISIONS APPROVED AND DATE	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
---	--

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION OF A PRELIMINARY NATURE AND WAS PREPARED PRIMARILY FOR INTERNAL USE. IT IS SUBJECT TO REVISION AND/OR CORRECTION AND DOES NOT REPRESENT A FINAL REPORT.

PAD/LSCR-002340

CLASSIFICATION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ **CONFIDENTIAL**  
 BY AUTHORITY ~~UG-100~~ **UG-100**  
 BY ~~PERSON~~ **PERSON** DATE ~~1975~~ **1975**



PADUCAH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT  
PADUCAH, KENTUCKY

Prepared for the U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION  
under U.S. GOVERNMENT Contract W-7405 eng 26

PROPERTY OF  
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION  
NUCLEAR DIVISION  
PADUCAH PLANT LIBRARY

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

This document consists of 20 pages.  
No. 17 of 17 copies. Pages A.

2

DATE OF ISSUE: March 26, 1975

REPORT NO.: KY-L-765, Part 2

KY/L 765 P2 17 52A



PADUCAH PLANT  
DEVELOPMENT PROGRESS REPORT  
February 1975

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION  
NUCLEAR DIVISION  
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant  
Paducah, Kentucky

Prepared for the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration  
under U. S. Government Contract W-7405 eng 26

RESTRICTED DATA

This document contains information which is exempt from release under the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the release of such information is prohibited.

Classifying Official W. R. Rossmassler  
Systems Section Head

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO

UNCLASSIFIED

1-6-98

(Insert Appropriate Classification Level and Category)(Date)

By Authority of CG-PGD-4 1-6-98  
(Authority for Change in Classification)(Date)

By Samuel D. Elkins Classification Officer  
(Signature of Person Making Change)(Title)(Date)

Verified by: X KL Harris 1-6-98  
(Signature of Person Verifying Change)(Date)

Martin Marietta, PGDP FALLOUT OF URANIUM DURING UF<sub>6</sub> RELEASES

(T. J. Mayo, Laboratory)

When UF<sub>6</sub> is released to the atmosphere, it is quickly hydrolyzed to UO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and HF by atmospheric moisture. Some believe that a large part of the UO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> rapidly falls to the ground due to gravitational effects while the HF remains airborne. On the other hand, in atmospheric dispersion work it is generally assumed that aerosols (particle diameters less than 20 microns) remain airborne for long periods of time. The gaseous reaction of UF<sub>6</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O frequently produces UO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> particles of micron or submicron size or, in other words, well down in the aerosol range. There is, therefore, some question about the rapid fallout of UO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>. There is also a possibility that HF, with its strong tendency to adsorb on practically any surface, would attach to the UO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> particles and also be removed from the air should solids fallout occur.

In this project, the behavior of the UO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and HF resulting from the release of gaseous UF<sub>6</sub> to the atmosphere will be investigated.

#### CURRENT PROGRESS

Since the last report,<sup>1</sup> four additional releases have been made from a five-liter bulb containing known quantities of UF<sub>6</sub> and SF<sub>6</sub>. The SF<sub>6</sub> serves as an internal standard and any decrease in the U/SF<sub>6</sub> or F/SF<sub>6</sub> ratio was intended to indicate a loss of uranium or HF from the plume. The UF<sub>6</sub> and SF<sub>6</sub> mixtures were made up so that the weight ratios in the released mixtures were 9.8 for U/SF<sub>6</sub>, 4.7 for F/SF<sub>6</sub>, with the U/F ratio in UF<sub>6</sub> being 2.1. The results of the four new runs along with the previous runs where samples were obtained at two distances are given in table 1.

All these releases were made in the late afternoon just before dark under clear skies with winds of 5 mph or less. The aim was to release during very stable atmospheric conditions corresponding to a Pasquill F stability category. Observation of the clouds of released material showed that this condition did not always exist and that stabilities actually ranged from stable to neutral or Pasquill categories F to D.

Examination of table 1 shows that while the ratios generally decrease with distance there are cases, runs 7 and 11, where the reverse is true. It will also be noted that more often than not the ratios exceed the known release values. Because of such problems, no further work is planned by this method.

There are, however, some worthwhile observations which can be made. Because of the variability of the data, probably the best way to look at it is from the standpoint of overall averages which are summarized in table 2. Considering the ratios in the order presented, the U/F

Table 1

SUMMARY OF UF<sub>6</sub>-SF<sub>6</sub> RELEASE RESULTS

<u>Run</u>	<u>Sampling Distance, yards</u>	<u>Ratios</u>		
		<u>U/F</u>	<u>U/SF<sub>6</sub></u>	<u>F/SF<sub>6</sub></u>
7	90	2.6	10	3.8
	210	2.1	21	9.9
8	190	2.8	17	6.3
	380	2.3	12	5.2
9	240	2.7	15	4.9
	480	-	10	-
10	70	2.1	29	14
	440	1.6	17	10
11	70	1.5	12	7.9
	440	2.0	11	5.4
12	70	2.1	14	6.5
	440	1.9	9	4.7
Theory		2.1	9.8	4.7

Table 2

SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS

<u>Sampling Location</u>	<u>U/F</u>	<u>U/SF<sub>6</sub></u>	<u>F/SF<sub>6</sub></u>
Near	2.19	15.9	7.58
Far	1.98	13.3	7.11
% Decrease	9.6	16.4	6.2

ratio change from the near to the far sample point indicates a small loss (9.6%) of uranium relative to the fluoride. The fluoride here is defined as the fluoride in the  $UO_2F_2$  plus HF from the hydrolysis. A similar loss of uranium (16.4%) is indicated by comparison to the internal standard  $SF_6$ . Only a minor loss (6.2%) of fluoride is indicated. At best, these values can only be considered as semiquantitative estimates because of the obviously poor precision of the data. It is concluded that some loss of uranium does occur downwind from an outside release during relatively stable weather conditions. The extent of the loss is not well defined, but it is probably small and almost certainly does not exceed 20-25% in a quarter of a mile.

During three of the releases, run 7 in table 1 and runs 4 and 6 reported previously, the clouds produced by the 160-gram  $UF_6$  releases were visible for at least a half mile. The atmosphere was very stable, and the clouds stayed near the ground permitting the extended observation. Neither this nor observations in the vicinity of the release points suggest a large loss of material. These observations appear to support the previous conclusion that it cannot be assumed a large portion of the uranium will quickly fall out of the cloud.

Two additional determinations were made concerning HF adsorbed on  $UO_2F_2$  or other atmospheric particles. This was done by placing an uncoated filter in front of the  $K_2CO_3$ -coated filters in the sampling heads. In a total of five determinations from 36 to 50 percent of the total fluoride was found on the uncoated filter. The average value was 43 percent. Since 33 percent of the fluoride would be present as  $UO_2F_2$ , this indicates that about 15 percent of the remaining fluoride, presumably as HF, was adsorbed on material trapped by the first filter. It is thus indicated that 85 percent of the HF was not adsorbed.

#### REFERENCE

- 1 Mayo, T. J., *Paducah Plant Development Progress Report*, November 1974, KY-L-694-11, January 6, 1975.

## DISTRIBUTION

1. K-25 Site Records (RC)
2. ChemRisk/Shonka Research Associates
3. DOE Public Reading Room
4. S. G. Thornton (K-25 EMD)