

6
Lia 9/70

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Division of Health and Safety
Environmental Hygiene Branch

EFFECT OF STREAM POLLUTION ON WATER RESOURCES

Prepared by
Stream Pollution Control Section

Chattanooga, Tennessee

May 1958

1217

Area 8 - Clinch River Watershed--This area contains the highest percentage of cities discharging untreated sewage to surface streams of any watershed in the Valley. The streams in the headwaters of this region are heavily polluted during low-flow periods. Few of the numerous small mining communities of this area have any degree of treatment and, in the few instances where treatment has been provided, the degree is inadequate.

Tazewell and North Tazewell (Virginia), as well as Saint Paul, Norton, Appalachia, Big Stone Gap, Pennington Gap, and LaFollette, in addition to numerous smaller communities, do not provide any degree of treatment. Richlands provides only a token degree of treatment.

Below Norris Reservoir, the degree of treatment is much more satisfactory. Clinton and Kingston have both recently completed primary treatment plants, while Lake City has had primary treatment for a longer period. Norris uses secondary treatment, while the Oak Ridge area is serviced by several treatment plants which provide either primary or secondary treatment.

Industrial wastes problems in the headwater areas are caused mostly by the use of water for coal washing operations. Coal fines are carried into the streams. Two industries at Clinton (1950 population equivalent of 5,200) are planning to take advantage of the municipal system to eliminate pollution of the Clinch River at that point.

Table 9 indicates the degree of waste treatment provided in 1955 and the predicted treatment for 1975. Plate A10 shows the various inter-relationships of water uses in Area 8.

Area 9 - Holston River Watershed--This area has had a number of serious pollution problems, both from municipal and industrial sources. Considering the magnitude of the problem, excellent results have been obtained in eliminating untreated sewage from the surface streams of this area. Considerable progress has also been attained in reducing or treating industrial wastes, but a number of serious problems remain in this field.

TABLE 9
STATUS OF MUNICIPAL SEWAGE TREATMENT IN THE TENNESSEE VALLEY

Area 8 - Clinch River Watershed

Location	Receiving Stream	Dilution Available	1955 Conditions			1975 Conditions					
			cfs	Connec- ted Pop.	cfs 100 PE	PE to Treatment	PE to Stream	Connec- ted Pop.	cfs 100 PE	Indicated Treatment	PE to Stream
Kingston, Tenn.	Clinch R.	—	2,880	—	—	Pri. ^{1/}	1,920 ^v	3,960	—	Pri.	2,640 ^v
Oak Ridge (East), Tenn.	Clinch R.	—	14,100	—	—	Sec.	1,410 ^v	17,800	—	Sec.	1,780 ^v
Oak Ridge (West), Tenn.	Poplar Crk.	—	16,500	—	—	Pri.	11,000 ^v	20,900	—	Sec.	2,090 ^v
Clinton, Tenn.	Clinch R.	—	5,760	—	—	Pri.	3,840 ^v	8,190	—	Pri.	5,460 ^v
Morris, Tenn.	Buffalo Crk.	—	—	1,120	—	Sec.	112 ^v	1,580	—	Sec.	158 ^v
Lake City, Tenn.	Coal Crk.	<1.	1,980	<0.05	—	Pri.	1,320 ^v	2,970	<0.03	Sec.	297 ^v
LaFollette, Tenn.	Big Crk.	<1.	6,300	<0.01	None	None	6,300 ^v	8,370	<0.01	Sec.	837 ^v
Pennington Gap, Va.	N. Powell R.	10.	2,070	0.48	None	None	2,070 ^v	1,710	0.54	Sec.	171 ^v
Big Stone Gap, Va.	Powell R.	7.	4,860	0.14	None	None	4,860 ^v	5,220	0.13	Sec.	522 ^v
Appalachia, Va.	Powell R.	5.	3,060	0.16	None	None	3,060 ^v	2,610	0.19	Sec.	261 ^v
Stonega, Va.	Callahan Crk.	—	1,350	—	—	None	1,350 ^v	1,440	—	Sec.	144 ^v
Roda-Osaka, Va.	Mud Lick Crk.	—	—	1,800	—	None	1,800 ^v	1,890	—	Sec.	189 ^v
Wise, Va.	Bear Crk.	—	—	1,530	—	None	1,530 ^v	1,980	—	Sec.	198 ^v
Norton, Va.	Guest R.	<1.	4,050	<0.02	None	None	4,050 ^v	4,140	<0.02	Sec.	414 ^v

TABLE 9 (Continued)
STATUS OF MUNICIPAL SEWAGE TREATMENT IN THE TENNESSEE VALLEY

Area 8 - Clinch River Watershed

Receiving Stream	Location	Dilution Available	1955 Conditions			1975 Conditions		
			cfs	Connec- ted Pop.	cfs 100 PE	Present Treatment	PE to Stream	cfs 100 PE
Clinch R.	St. Paul, Va.	57.	1,170	4,87	None	1,170 ✓	1,440	3.96 Pri.
Lick Gk.	Dante, Va.	—	2,160	—	None	2,160 ✓	1,800	— Sec.
Clinch R.	Richlands, Va.	15.	5,040	0.30	None	5,040 ✓	8,100	0.18 Sec.
Clinch R.	Cedar Bluff, Va.	—	1,080	—	None	1,080 ✓	1,710	— Pri.
Tazewell, Va.	Town Br. ^{2/}	6.	2,070	0.30	None	2,070 ✓	2,520	0.24 Sec.
			<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
			78,880 ✓			56,142 ✓	98,330 ✓	18,503 ✓

^{1/}Installed 1957.

^{2/}Probable future point of discharge, Clinch River.